

**PATENT****IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE****Applicant:** M. Cusson, et al.**Paper No.:****Application No.:** 09/294,656**Group Art Unit:** 3624**Filed:** 4/19/99**Examiner:** Colbert**Title:** *Web servers with queryable dynamic caches***Fax:** 703-746-7238

Commissioner for Patents
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Response to non-final Office action under 35 U.S.C. 111**Summary of the prosecution**

The claims presently in the application are claims 112-131. In an Office action mailed 10/1/2004 with a one-month period for response, Examiner objected to the amendment filed 7/2/04 as not being fully responsive because Applicants had not amended page 4, line 26 of the Specification, had not resubmitted the reference Leverenz, et al., *Oracle8™ Concepts, Release 8.0*, Oracle Corporation, chapters 17, "Database triggers", and 30, "Database Replication", and had not included arguments pointing out why elected claims 112-131 were patentable. In a response filed 10/19/2004, Applicants once again pointed out why page 24, line 26 is correct, provided the Leverenz reference, and pointed out why claims 112-131 are patentable. Applicants further provided an IDS under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(c) with a new reference: Jason Durbin, et al., *Oracle8™ Server: Distributed Database Systems*, release 8.0, June 1997, chapter 1, "Concepts", henceforth "Durbin".

Examiner mailed another non-final Office action in the above application on 1/11/05 in which she objected to certain aspects of the substitute Specification filed 6/30/04, objected to some of the claim language, rejected claims 119 and 124 as indefinite under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, rejected claims 112-114, 119, 120, 124, 125, 128, and

131 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Draper, and rejected claims 115-118, 121-123, 126, 127, 129, and 130 under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Draper in light of Divyesh Jadav and Monish Gupta, hereafter Jadav and Gupta. Applicants are amending their Specification and claims to overcome the objections thereto and the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, and are traversing the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102 and 103. Applicants are further providing an IDS under 37 C.F.R. 1.97(c) and (e)(2) with a new reference: U.S. Patent 6,466,936, Ronström, *Method for load regulation*, filed Nov. 24, 1999 and claiming priority from a Swedish application filed Nov. 26, 1998 (henceforth "Ronström").